

**MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA
TRAINING TO IMPROVE CARDIOVASCULAR DRUG THERAPY
NIH HL 07260-20/29**

POSTDOCTORAL APPLICATION CHECKLIST

- _____ 1. Completed application form
- _____ 2. Cover letter from mentor
- _____ 3. Letter from candidate to include reasons for choosing mentor's laboratory and long-term professional goals
- _____ 4. Curriculum vitae
- _____ 5. 3 letters of reference

Return complete package to:

**Belinda Andersen
Department of Pharmacology
Room 303 BSB
173 Ashley Avenue
Charleston, SC 29425**

Note to Mentors:

For future competing renewals of HL 07260 it is important that you keep a file or notations containing the following information:

- 1. All inquiries for postdoctoral training positions in your laboratory including U.S. and non-U.S.
- 2. Positions accepted by all postdoctoral trainees in your laboratory following completion of training period.

REFERENCE FORM

Medical University of South Carolina
Department of Pharmacology
T32-HL07260

NAME OF APPLICANT (Last, first, middle initial)

“Training to Improve Cardiovascular Drug Therapy”

RESPONDENT (*name, title, department and institution*)

TELEPHONE NUMBER

SIGNATURE

DATE

DATES ASSOCIATED WITH APPLICANT

CAPACITY AT THAT TIME

Compare the applicant with other individuals of similar training and experience with whom you have been associated. Use the following numerical scores. Mark every block; insert “X” if insufficient knowledge to rate and “NA” if not applicable.

- 1- **Outstanding** - comparable to the best individual in a current class or research laboratory (upper 5%)
- 2- **Excellent** - upper 6 to 20%
- 3- **Very Good (Above Average)** - upper 21 to 40%
- 4 - **Good(Average)** - middle 41 to 60%
- 5 - **Fair (Below Average)** - lower 40%

Use black ribbon or black ink.

- ___ Research Ability and Potential
- ___ Written and Verbal Communications
- ___ Perseverance in Pursuing Goals
- ___ Self-Reliance and Independence
- ___ Clinical Proficiency, if relevant
- ___ Laboratory Skills and Techniques, if relevant
- ___ Originality
- ___ Accuracy
- ___ Scientific Background
- ___ Familiarity with Research Literature
- ___ Ability to Organize Scientific Data

Describe your association with the applicant. Comment on the above items, including other areas as appropriate, identifying the strengths and weaknesses that should be considered in evaluating the applicants potential for a research career. (*Use continuation pages as necessary.*)